

**Mathematical Foundation of  
the Brockett-Wegner Flow**

Volker Bach (U Mainz)

joint work with  
Jean-Bernard Bru (U Vienna)

### Brockett's Idea (1991)

- Let  $H = H^*$ ,  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{C}^N]$ ,
- $H$  is  $A$ -diagonal  $:\Leftrightarrow [H, A] = 0$ .
- For  $A = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$  with  $\alpha_j < \alpha_{j+1}$ :  
 $H$  is  $A$ -diagonal  $\Leftrightarrow H = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ .
- Study the time-dependent Schrödinger Eq.

$$\forall t > 0 : \quad \partial_t H_t := i[H_t, G_t], \quad H_0 := H,$$

where  $G = G^* \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_0^+; \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{C}^N])$  is chosen later.

- Introduce Lyapunov function

$$f_t := \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}\{(H_t - A)^2\} := \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}\{H^2 + A^2 - 2H_t A\} \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\dot{f}_t := -\text{Tr}\{\dot{H}_t A\} = -\text{Tr}\{i[H_t, G_t]A\} = -\text{Tr}\{i[A, H_t]G_t\}.$$

- Brockett's key observation:

$$G_t = G_t^* := i[A, H_t] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{f}_t = -\text{Tr}\{G_t^2\} \leq 0.$$

Fund. thm. of calc. implies  $-\dot{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}_0^+)$ , i.e.,  $\text{Tr}\{[iA, H_t]^2\} \rightarrow 0$ .

- If convergent then

$$H_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \{U_{t,0} H U_{t,0}^*\}$$

which is unitarily equivalent to  $H$  and  $A$ -diagonal.  $(U_{t,s})_{t \geq s \geq 0} \subseteq U(N)$  is the cocycle of unitary matrices generated by  $iG_t$ .

- Similar idea of Wegner (1994): Choosing  $G_t := i[H_t^{\text{diag}}, H_t]$ , he shows that  $\text{Tr}\{G_t^2\}$  is monotonically decreasing.
- Brockett proposed method for diagonalization of matrices and to solve linear programs.
- Wegner applied his method to many-particle Hamiltonians; Kehrein and Mielke [(IR-singular) spin-boson model, 1994-1996], Stein (Hubbard model, 1998), [see Kehrein 2006].
- As a (nonlinear) evolution equation,

$$\forall t > 0 : \quad \partial_t H_t := [H_t, [H_t, A]], \quad H_0 := H,$$

- Finiteness and cyclicity of the trace on  $\mathbb{C}^N$  was used at several places.

## Global Existence for Bounded Operators

**Thm 1:** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a Banach subalgebra of the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}[\mathfrak{H}] \supset \mathcal{A}$  of bounded operators on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a unitarily invariant norm. Suppose that  $H_0 = H_0^*$ ,  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{A}$  are two self-adjoint operators such that  $A \geq 0$ . Then

$$\forall t > 0 : \quad \partial_t H_t := [H_t, [H_t, A]], \quad H_0 := H, \quad (1)$$

has a unique solution  $H_{(\cdot)} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_0^+; \mathcal{A})$ , and  $H_t$  is unitarily equivalent to  $H_0$ , for all  $t > 0$ .

Proof:

The right side of (1) is locally Lipschitz continuous.

$\Rightarrow$  Local existence  $H_{(\cdot)} \in C^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{A})$ , for some  $T > 0$ .

$\|H_T\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|H_0\|_{\mathcal{A}}$  because of unitary equivalence.

$\Rightarrow$  Global existence by iteration.

## Local Existence for Unbounded Operators

Let  $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{H}$  be a dense domain,  $X = \mathcal{B}[\mathfrak{H}]$  and  $Y = \mathcal{B}[\mathfrak{D}]$ ,  $H_0 = H_0^*$ ,  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{B}[\mathfrak{D}; \mathfrak{H}]$  such that  $A \geq 1$ . Further assume  $R_n(H_0) \in X \cap Y$ , where

$$R_0(H) := A^{-1}, \quad R_1(H) := [H, A^{-1}], \quad R_2(H) := [H, [H, A^{-1}]], \dots$$

**Thm 2:** Suppose that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\rho n}}{n!} (\|R_n(H_0)\|_X + \|R_n(H_0)\|_Y) \leq e^\eta, \quad (2)$$

for some  $\rho, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\forall t > 0: \quad \partial_t H_t := [H_t, [H_t, A^{-1}]], \quad H_0 := H, \quad (3)$$

has a self-adjoint solution  $H_{(\cdot)} \in C^\infty([0, T_*]; \mathcal{B}[\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{H}])$ , where  $T_* := \frac{1}{8}e^{\rho-\eta-1}$ , and there exists a smooth family  $U_{(\cdot)} \in C^\infty([0, T_*]; X \cap Y)$  of unitary transformations preserving the domain  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $H_t$  such that  $H_t = U_t H_0 U_t^*$  is unitarily equivalent to  $H_0$ , for all  $t \in [0, T_*]$ . It obeys

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\rho n}}{n!} \max_{t \in [0, T]} \{e^{-nt/T_*} (\|R_n(H_t)\|_X + \|R_n(H_t)\|_Y)\} \leq 2e^\eta \quad (4)$$

and is the only solution with this property.

- Proof is inspired by Caps 2002 and uses Nash-Moser type estimates.

### Convergence on Hilbert-Schmidt Operators

**Thm 3:** Suppose  $H_0 := H = H^*$ ,  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{L}^2[\mathfrak{H}]$  are two self-adjoint Hilbert-Schmidt operators on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that  $A > 0$  has full rank, and let  $H_{(\cdot)} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_0^+; \mathcal{L}^2[\mathfrak{H}])$  be the unique solution of (1). Then

$$H_\infty := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_t$$

converges strongly,  $H_\infty$  is  $A$ -diagonal, and  $H_\infty$  is unitarily equivalent to  $H_0$ .

- Note that, while  $H_t = U_{t,0} H_0 U_{t,0}^*$ , there is no claim about the convergence of  $U_{t,0}$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Diagonalization of Quadratic Operators

- For  $a_k^*, a_\ell$  obeying the CCR and  $H_t$  of the form

$$H_t = \sum_{k,\ell} \left\{ \Omega_t(k, \ell) a_k^* a_\ell + B_t(k, \ell) a_k^* a_\ell^* + \overline{B_t(k, \ell)} a_k a_\ell \right\}$$

- Choosing  $A := \sum_k a_k^* a_k$ , we have:

$$H_t \text{ is } A\text{-diagonal} \Leftrightarrow H_t \text{ is in normal form (Berezin)}$$

- Eq. (1) is equivalent to system

$$\partial_t \Omega_t = -16 B_t B_t^*, \quad \partial_t B_t = -2(\Omega_t B_t + B_t \Omega_t^T). \quad (5)$$

- Exist. + uniq. + conv. under the assumption that, for some  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(\Omega_0^{-3/2} + \mathbf{1}) B_0 \in \mathcal{L}^2[\mathfrak{H}], \quad 8B_0 \Omega_0^T B_0^* \leq \Omega_0, \quad (4 + \varepsilon) B_0 \Omega_0^T B_0^* \leq \mathbf{1}.$$

- Weaker than standard assumption  $\Omega_0 \geq \mu \cdot \mathbf{1}$  ( $\Rightarrow \exists$  gap),
- $4B_0 \Omega_0^T B_0^* \leq \mathbf{1}$  is necessary for  $H_t$  to be bounded below.